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TIP: Use a local text editor to write your review, and then select/copy the information below. This way, in case of a network outage or some other problem, you won't lose the review.

Recommendation:

- Reject: Content inappropriate to the conference or has little merit
- Probable Reject: Basic flaws in content or presentation or very poorly written
- Marginal Tend to Reject: Not as badly flawed; major effort necessary to make acceptable but content well-covered in literature already
- Marginal Tend to Accept: Content has merit, but accuracy, clarity, completeness, and/or writing should and could be improved in time
- Clear Accept: Content, presentation, and writing meet professional norms; improvements may be advisable but acceptable as is
- Must Accept: Candidate for outstanding paper. Suggested improvements still appropriate

Paper Categorization:

- Highly theoretical
- Tends towards theoretical
- Balanced theory and practice
- Tends toward practical
- Highly practical

Overall Value Added to the Field:

Check as many as appropriate

- New information
- Valuable confirmation of present knowledge
- Clarity to present understanding
- New perspective, issue, or problem definition
- Not much
- Other

Reviewer Familiarity with Subject Matter

Relates to the confidence you have in your review
Is this paper a candidate for the best paper award?

- Yes
- No
- Unsure

Is the paper length appropriate?

- Yes
- No
- Unsure

Originality?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Technical quality?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Rigor of arguments?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Written organization?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Clarity of presentation?
Standard of English?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

References to other work?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

Interest to readers?

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor

If from reading the paper you know who the author is, how different is this from earlier papers on the same topic by the same author? That is, is it the same as or a slight modification of other papers, with little or no new information?

- Totally or largely different from other papers
- Moderately different from other papers
- Totally or largely identical to other papers
- Don't know

Optional: Which of the following session(s) would be the most appropriate for this paper?

We use these suggestions in assigning papers to sessions for the conference, but not in determining whether the paper is accepted.

- AI & Expert Systems
- Algorithms & Applications
- Arabic Language Processing
- Bioinformatics
- Computer Architecture
- Computer Ethics
- Computer in Education
Comments for the Authors

Constructive comments to the author(s) would be appreciated.

Comments for the Program Committee (authors will not see these comments)

Reasons must be included for all papers, because they help us determine what to do when reviewers disagree with each other.
Email me a copy of this review
Useful for your own record or in case there is some kind of error during updating. Note that if your session times out, you will not receive an email; instead you should log back in right away to recover the review.

I have completed the review
Check this box when you have made a recommendation and completely finished reviewing this paper. This is used only to track how many outstanding reviews there are. You will still be able to edit this review after checking this box, until review submission is closed.

[ Submit Review Button ]

Before submitting your review, consider printing it out and copying/pasting the descriptive text fields to a text document. This way, in case of a network/system problem, you will have all the information if it needs to be re-entered.

Should your session timeout while filling out this review, log back in right away as we may be able to recover your review.