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Brijesh Bakariya¹ and Ghanshyam Thakur²

¹Department of Computer Science and Engineering, I.K. Gujral Punjab Technical University, India
²Department of Computer Applications, Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, India

Abstract: Weblog data contains unstructured information. Due to this, extracting frequent pattern from weblog databases is a very challenging task. A power set lattice strategy is adopted for handling that kind of problem. In this lattice, the top label contains full set and at the bottom label contains empty set. Most number of algorithms follows bottom-up strategy, i.e. combining smaller to larger sets. Efficient lattice traversal techniques are presented which quickly identify all the long frequent itemsets and their subsets if required. This strategy is suitable for discovering frequent itemsets but it might not be worth being used for infrequent itemsets. In this paper, we propose Infrequent Itemset Mining for Weblog (IIMW) algorithm; it is a top-down breadth-first level-wise algorithm for discovering infrequent itemsets. We have compared our algorithm IIMW to Apriori-Rare, Apriori-Inverse and generated result in with different parameters such as candidate itemset, frequent itemset, time, transaction database and support threshold.
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1. Introduction

The collection of minimum frequent itemset might be important. An example can be drowning in drug analysis, market basket analysis, business analysis, etc. Most of the criteria are based on support and confidence, here the support consists number of times pattern occur in the transaction databases, moreover it’s a frequency of itemset in a transactional database and the confidence determines the proportion value that shows how frequently a part of the pattern (premise), occurs among all the records containing the whole transaction dataset. For example, if the pattern has to satisfy the minimum support then that pattern is considered as frequent pattern or frequent pattern on the contrary, these patterns have to satisfy maximum support then that pattern considered as in frequent pattern or infrequent pattern [1, 2]. Infrequent patterns can be used in different domains such as biology, medicine and security [9, 15], etc. For example, in a clinical database analysis one can discover infrequent patterns that will help doctors to make decisions about the clinical care. As one can observe, each type of patterns expands the data seeking for specific types of knowledge. In other types of patterns ‘infrequent and frequent’ patterns that can be mined. Any item set is found interesting only when its frequency is less than the maximum threshold or more than the minimum threshold [6, 8, 10]. For searching ‘frequent and infrequent patterns’ is an NP-Hard problem whose complexity is exponential. This is complex from the computational point of view. A few algorithms have been developed which can search the frequent and infrequent patterns in NP-Complete time or we can say it’s solved such problems in polynomial time. The algorithms which efficiently search the ‘frequent patterns’ are not necessarily be searching for ‘infrequent patterns too’. Algorithms to search for both the patterns are infrequently available apart from the ‘Rarity’ these are many such problems which exist in different data mining algorithms. We have taken log data is collected which gets available at the Internet traffic archive [16]. This log data later partitioned on the basis of its attributes and we have chosen two field timestamp and web page after applying preprocessing techniques [4, 5].

2. General Terms and Definitions

2.1. Power Set

Let A be a set, then the power set of A is P (A) give by P (A) = {S: S (A)}. Here A is the set of n elements, then the number of elements in P (A) is 2^n or n [P (A)] =2^n. For example, if {a, b, c} the P (S) = {ø, {a}, {b}, {c}, {a, b}, {b, c}, {a, b, c}}. Here S has 3 elements so P (S) has 23 =8 elements.

2.2. Lattice

A non empty set P, together with binary relation R is said to from a partially ordered set or a post if the following conditions are satisfied.

1. Reflexivity: - aRa ∀ a ∈ P.
2. Anti Symmetry: - If aRb and bRa then a = b (∀ a, b ∈ P).
3. Transitivity: - aRc, bRc then bRa ( ∀ a, b, c ∈ P).
2.3. Infrequent Itemset

In this section, we provide definitions of key terms that explain the concepts of frequent and infrequent itemsets, let A be the collection or set of items entailed by database records, e.g. the set of items a consumer collects in a shopping complex, according to market basket analysis it is referred to as an itemset. Moreover, let I = {i_1, i_2, ..., i_n} be a set of n different elements called items. Let the database DB is a collection of transactions over I, T is associated with each and every transaction and Tid is a unique index for each transaction. The subset of itemset X = {i_m, i_n, i_r, i_s} in I and its length consist a number of itemsets in X. A z-length itemset consist transaction in DB with different itemset and length z. The frequency (Number of occurrences) of an itemset X called support count of X, and it is denoted by Supp (X).

Frequent and infrequent itemset are depend on f_i and r_i where f_i is a frequent support count threshold and r_i is an infrequent support count threshold and f_i < r_i. Moreover a particular itemsets are said to be frequent if and only if Supp(X) >= f_i and infrequent if and only if Supp(X) <= r_i. The support count of superset of an itemset is related to its subsets itemset. Let we take two itemset A and B such that A ⊆ B, the frequency of A itemset is at least B frequency, or we can say A is part of B then Supp(A) ≥ Supp(B), ∀ A ⊆ B.

2.4. Property

2.4.1. Downward Closure Property

If an itemset is frequent then all its subsets must be Frequent, is usually used to mine all frequent itemsets from a large database. If {milk, bread, tea} is frequent, so is {milk, bread} i.e., every transaction having {milk, bread, tea} also contains {milk, bread}.

2.4.2. Anti-Monotonicity Property

If an itemset is Infrequent then all its supersets must be Infrequent, which is usually used to mine all infrequent itemsets from a large database is a very complex task, for example, if {computer, radio} is infrequent or infrequent, so is {computer, radio, television} also infrequent i.e., every transaction not having {computer, radio} also not contains {computer, radio, television}. Define f_i = 3 and r_i = 2, so each infrequent itemset is also infrequent itemset. We have mentioned the support count in this figure1. We have joined set of all infrequent itemset in a semi-lattice or we can say it is closed under join operation, i.e., A and B infrequent itemset the A and B is also infrequent. On the contrary, if A, B are infrequent then it does not contain A ∩ B or it does not meet in semi-lattice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Transactional table.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATASET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 1 we have shown a transaction table for five items and total 7 transactions included in the dataset. Each transaction consists a set of items. Here we consider the items as web pages.

3. Related Work

Association Rule Mining (ARM) is one the data mining technique [3, 11]. In ARM there are two approaches as follows first is Apriori and the second is FP-Growth mining [7, 13, 14]. For searching frequent and infrequent itemset, it’s a different approach, whereas the problem frequent itemset mining. Many solutions have been developed, but apart from that, to mine infrequent itemset if very hard task and research on searching an infrequent itemset mining is still going on.

3.1. Infrequent Itemset Mining

Various types of algorithm have been proposed for infrequent itemset mining and it is different from frequent pattern mining algorithms. Infrequent itemset consist those itemset that do not occur frequently but it may also generate interesting rules, so if a frequent pattern consists high confidence rule then it should not be discarded completely. Koh and Rountree [10] proposed a more efficient algorithm name as Apriori-Inverse, which finds perfectly sporadic rules and imperfectly sporadic rules (irrelevant) without generating all the unnecessarily frequent items. They use three parameters in Apriori-Inverse such as Fixed Threshold, Adaptive Threshold, and Hill Climbing. In Apriori-Inverse finds all perfectly sporadic rules much more quickly than Apriori. Szathmary et al. [15] proposes two algorithms Minimal Infrequent Generators (MRG)-Exp and A Infrequent Itemset Miner Algorithm (ARIMA). First is MRG-Exp used to finding minimal infrequent generators, we focus on frequent itemsets generators in lattice. Second ARIMA is to get all infrequent itemsets from minimum rate itemset. Tsang et al. [18] propose a tree structure approach RP-Tree for mining a subset of infrequent association rules and an information get component that helps to identify the more interesting association rules. RP-Tree, examines all infrequent-item nodes in the initial tree, and all nodes that have less support than a infrequent-item are infrequent items themselves, RP-Tree must find all infrequent item itemsets.

Adda et al. [1] approach and algorithm name as
Apriori for Infrequent And Non-Present Item-set Mining (ARANIM), ARANIM discover of non-present patterns and infrequent patterns using infrequent itemset mining and they have also proposed a framework to represent the different categories of patterns based on the frequency constraint which by means of an instantiation process leads to the representation of frequent, infrequent and non-present pattern mining problems. Troiano and Scibelli [17] propose Rarity, a top-down breadth-first level-wise algorithm; they explore the power set lattice from the top, reaching the border line of non-infrequent itemsets, this approach is applied in Rarity.

4. Algorithm

Apriori-Rare [15] and Apriori-Inverse [10] are the for discovering infrequent itemset but it is time and space consuming and these above algorithms are not able to mine both frequent and infrequent itemsets. Moreover, we propose an algorithm such as Infrequent Itemset Mining for Weblog (IIMW). In this algorithm use three different data structures in C, F and R. Here C is a candidate itemsets list, F frequent itemsets list and R is infrequent itemsets list.

4.1. Infrequent Itemset Mining for Weblog (IIMW)

Algorithm 1: Infrequent Itemset Mining for Weblog (IIMW)

Input: Dataset (D)

1. Take server Web Log Data (WLD)
2. Preprocess WLD and remove the extension from the URL
3. Choose Timestamp (T) and Web Page (WP)
4. T & WL: SWL and SWL ∈ WLD
5. Divide a slot of SWL and calculate the frequency of each page in a slot individually.
6. Create a Dataset (D) contain time slot, web pages and its frequency
7. Find out highest length itemsets (p) and assign to n where [(p) ∀ D]
8. lenn = highest length (p) ∀ D // Find out highest length itemsets (p) and assign to len variable
9. For (all itemsets p ∈ D) do
10. Store C (len (i)) // Store all records to candidate list
11 End For
12. For (i= 1 to l) do
13. If C (l) ≠ ø then
14. For (all ip ∈ C (l)) do
15. If (supp (ip) > min_supp) then
16. Remove is from C (l)
17. Add is to F (l) // Store records in to frequent list
18. Else
19. Add is to R(l) // Store records in to infrequent list
20. If (len(ip) > 1) then
21. For (all psub ∈ subsets (ip) || length (psub) = l – 1) do
22. If (psub ≠ #) then
23. Add sub to C (len(psub)) // Store records in to candidate list according to length of subset
24. For all ip ∈ F(l) do
25. If length (ip) > 1 then

26. For k = 1 to 1 do
27. For all c ∈ C (k) do
28. cip = c∪ip
29. Remove cip from C (len(cip)) when cip ∈ C
30. Add cip to F (length (cip))
31 End for

First of all declare the candidate list C (l) and in this list contains those itemset which is having highest length. All infrequent l-itemset are passed by database to count l-itemsets support. In the first step frequent list (F) and infrequent list (R) are empty. This algorithm IIMW starts from the top of the lattice, which contains lowest itemsets after that calculate l where l is the length of longest itemsets and selects those highest length itemsets and keeps it in the candidate list. For each l= l0 to l1, this algorithm is considering the candidate itemset ip ∈ C (l). If supp (ip) is greater than minimum threshold mt then it move into frequent list F (l) and if itemsets (ip) is less than or equal to mt then those itemsets are infrequent and moved into the infrequent list (R). If the length of subset is 1-1 then that itemsets are infrequent then it is assign to C (l-1) and after that it scan frequent itemset list F (l) so that each known itemset fk ∈ F (l) and compare fk to smallest candidate sets sk ∈ C(w) with w < 1. An intersection of istk = fk ∩ sk determine in order to find common sub-itemset and we can say those itemset which have been derived by fk are frequent and moved into frequent itemset list, at the last level l=1 single itemset present. Even an algorithm stops early when C (l) is empty. The number of occurrences of each itemset can be calculated through support measure. IIMW follows downward closure property and anti-monotonicity property. In this property prove that all subsets of frequent itemset are frequent and all superset of infrequent itemset is infrequent. By applying this above property support can be a measure of itemset according to support.

\[ F_{ip} = \sum_{g \in S(\text{ip})} F_{g} \] (1)

\[ \text{Supp}(ip) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{l_i} \frac{F_{ip}(K)}{F_{ip}(k-1)}}{l} \] (2)

Where gi is the generic itemset belonging to the set of ip super itemset Sset (ip). F contains set of itemset and l is the length of itemset. Suppose we have to calculate support of p1p3 then firstly overall path from p1p3 can be calculated by using Equation (1). Path is p1p2p3 = p1p2p3p1p2p3 and the level of p1p2 = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0); p1p3p1 = (0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0); p1p3p1 = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0); p1p2p3p1 = (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0) and the sum of all path of p1p3 is (0, 6, 1, 1, 0, 0).

\[ \text{Supp}(p1p3) = \sum_{k=2}^{4} \frac{F_{ip}(K)}{(k-2)!} = \frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{6}{2!} = 5 \] (3)
In Figure 1 provides a processing, in this process C (3) is calculated. In this procedure, all frequent itemset are shift into the Frequent list F (3) and rest of items are shift into the Infrequent list R (l). All values at different levels could be calculated and moved into F and R. An itemset contain frequent and infrequent list according to minimum support threshold which have been predefined.

5. Experimental Analysis

In this section we compare our algorithm IIMW to Apriori-Inverse [10] and Apriori-Rare [15]. Both algorithms are able to find out infrequent itemset list. An experiment was carried out on Intel Core i3n 2.20 GHz processor with 4 GB of RAM and Windows 7 Home Basic operating system. We use the dataset which is available at The Internet Traffic Archive sponsored by ACM SIGCOMM for the time period 1 July to 31 July1995 [16]. In particular, we are operating on web log records and with the maximum transaction length of 254 items (web pages). In this transaction dataset the web pages is clicked by the user then write an occurrence of web page has been clicked and the web page is not clicked then write 0. For the support threshold we assume the different values of minimum support like 10% to 100%. In Figure 2 calculate the candidate count and our algorithm (IIMW) compare with the Apriori-Rare and Apriori-Inverse algorithm. In this comparison the number of candidate count generated with different support threshold. This process is candidate generation process after this process the frequent and infrequent itemset could be extracted.

In Figure 3. IIMW extract number of infrequent itemset with different minimum support (10% to 100%) and compare with Apriori-Rare and Apriori-Inverse.

In Figure 4 we measure in IIMW with different number of transactions and execution time of transaction and compare with Apriori-Rare and Apriori-Inverse algorithm, this algorithm generate candidate counts and infrequent itemset. In our algorithm IIMW generate candidate count, frequent patterns and infrequent patterns. In IIMW we don’t count those infrequent itemset which is having 0 frequencies because in the log file or web data; the web page is not visited. We only accept those infrequent itemset which length is 1 or greater than 1.
6. Conclusions

In this paper face the problem of discovering infrequent itemset, most of research focused on finding frequent itemset, but infrequent itemset mining could be expose interesting or valuable knowledge. An algorithm Apriori is the most standard algorithm from frequent itemset mining and most of algorithm refers this algorithm. We have also taken the inspiration from Apriori but it is different from this. For finding infrequent itemset we used power set and lattice traversal approach. In this approach follow Top-Down mechanism, in this larger itemset at top place and further it will up to bottom place. By applying this approach computation of support count for smaller itemsets is easier rather than larger itemset. In this paper we discovered infrequent itemset as well as frequent itemset, for discovering this itemset we proposed an algorithm IIMWD is used for extracting total candidate itemset, infrequent itemset and frequent itemset; infrequent pattern could be useful for business rules, statistical analysis, web advertisement etc.
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